Dear Michael and Donald,

This second open letter follows on from our meeting on Oct 2nd when we discussed the proposed FGC evaluation by the WWC. Whilst we have not heard further from you, colleagues in the FGC field have sent us the FGC RCT research protocol and this has ensured we have kept up to date with the work. We understand that the design is largely unchanged since we met. We sent you our key concerns after the meeting and we reproduce these below:

'Following our helpful discussion, and your welcome suggestion of keeping the dialogue open, we think the following three points are the most important ones to consider in the next few weeks and months of development:

- 1. The need to have clear legal protocols around any denial of FGC option: we would like to comment further once proposals are made. We retain very strong reservations about the ethical basis for randomisation in the manner proposed at PLO stage, and question what additional strength this is likely to give to the study (as compared to a 'natural experiment' where FGCs are provided to all who want one wherever there is capacity to provide one).
- 2. A fundamental issue for the study, agreed by all, is the importance of FGC integrity. There are severe problems likely in obtaining this, as we described when we met. We welcome the idea of a full review by the Leeds and Camden FGC teams to ensure the values and processes are authentic and look forward to details. It will also be important that the qualitative arm of the proposed study captures these factors as research data during the study.
- 3. The need for the primary outcome measure to be one related to the intention of the FGC process, and to reflect what FGC is for, around voice, agency, decency, rights.'

It is evident from the protocol that our concerns, and the expertise of many of those in the field, have not influenced the study:

- The protocol makes clear the focus of the study is the role of FGCs in influencing rates of entry into care. As we explained at length when we met, FGCs were built on notions of families (including children) exercising their rights and responsibilities, and not as gatekeeping or diversionary strategies for professional systems and services. We have repeatedly reinforced that FGCs are best understood as an exercising of these rights and responsibilities, not an intervention to manage demand.
- In the protocol we cannot see evidence of the review process you outlined (involving Leeds and Camden) to ensure FGC integrity and authenticity.
- The protocol does not make clear how families will access legal advice about their choices, who will provide this and pay for it (given it is an additional service), and critically how families will feel able to exercise choice and control about an RCT at a time when they are fearful about state intervention in their children's lives. As our earlier letter made clear, children have a right to know they received all the help that was possible to make the best plans for their well-being, denying access to an additional service at the point of pre proceedings in order to generate evidence for professionals does not sit comfortably with this reasonable expectation.

In essence, we remain concerned that the RCT measures the wrong thing, in the wrong way and at a cost to families and their children. There is much expertise in the field that could build a co-produced study that would reflect the rights based framework underpinning FGCs, and in so doing exercise respect for families and children as full participants in care processes.

We know that we were not alone in flagging all these concerns with you and offering our assistance. We remain deeply troubled by the position in which families are being placed by this study, and about the precedents being set.

As before, we hope this second open letter will inform and support wider debate.

Kind regards

Kate Morris (<u>kate.morris@sheffield.ac.England</u>) Professor of Social Work, Sheffield (corresponding author)

ATD Fourth World UK

James Blewett, Making Research Count, Kings, England

Andy Bilson, Emeritus Professor of Social Work, University of Central Lancashire, England

Gale Burford, Emeritus Professor, Vermont, USA

Nick Burke, Social Worker

Paul Bywaters, Professor of Social Work, Huddersfield, England

Marie Connolly, Emeritus Professor, Melbourne, Australia

Dr Jo Dillon, Lecturer, Sheffield, England

Dr. Charlotte Drury, Service Manager, Monmouthshire County Council

Deanna Edwards, Lecturer in Social Work, University of Salford

Brid Featherstone, Professor of Social Work, Huddersfield, England

Anna Gupta, Professor of Social Work, Royal Holloway, England

Joe Hanley, Lecturer in Social Work, Open University

Dr David Hayes, Queens University Belfast

Karin Heber, Professional Officer, Scottish Association of Social Work

Dr Rick Hood, Kingston University, England

Dr. Michelle Janas, Social Work Student, Oxford Brookes University

David Jones, Social Worker and British Association of Social Work International Chair

Ray Jones, Emeritus Professor, Kingston, England

Dr Gideon de Jong, Senior Lecturer in Mental Health Nursing, Edith Cowan University, Australia

Christian Kerr, Social Worker

Edward Lloyd-Jones, Barrister and Visiting Lecturer, Royal Holloway, England

Jennifer Llewelyn, Professor, Dalhousie University, Canada

Hedda van Lieshout CEO Eigen Kracht Centrale

Lisa Merkel-Holguin, Director, National Center on Family Group Decision Making, USA

Dr Mary Mitchell, Edinburgh University, Scotland

Dr Rob van Pagée, Founder, Eigen Kracht Centrale

Kate Parkinson, Lecturer in Social Work, University of Salford

Joan Pennell, Emeritus Professor, North Carolina

Abyd Aziz Quinn, Senior Lecturer, University of Cardiff

Dr Barbara Rishworth, Clinical Psychologist, Reframe Collective

Anna Rockhill, Senior Researcher, Regional Research Institute, Oregon, USA

Dr Michaela Rogers, Senior Lecturer, Sheffield, England

Dr. Gert Schout, Amsterdam University Medical Center

Dr. Robin Sen, Lecturer, Sheffield

Guy Shennan, Social Work Practitioner and Trainer

Joe Smeeton, Lecturer, Sheffield

Roger Smith, Professor of Social Work, University of Durham

Helen Sparkles, Social Worker

June Thoburn, Emeritus Professor, UEA

Nigel Patrick Thomas, Emeritus Professor of Childhood and Youth research, University of Central Lancashire

Jane Tunstill, Emeritus Professor, Royal Holloway Dr. Jo Warner, Reader in Social Work, University of Kent Sue White, Professor of Social Work, Sheffield